

Luis Hernandez Hartford, Ct

Thank you for listening to my testimony. I am Luis Hernandez and I reside in Hartford. I ask that you be fair and balanced in reviewing the wealth of information that is being handed to you today. I feel for you all and do not envy your task. I pray for you, that the decisions made, will be in good conscience.

I am a voter in Hartford. I vote on every election & issue. I will be watching your votes carefully. I will not vote for any elected official that votes in favor of SB-1076 or any other gun grab no matter how carefully disguised. Now about SB-1076 how is it unconstitutional let me count the ways. In ***District of Columbia vs. Heller*** section (2) Like most rights, the Second Amendment right is not unlimited. It is not a right to keep and carry any weapon whatsoever in any manner whatsoever and for whatever purpose: For example, concealed weapons prohibitions have been upheld under the Amendment or state analogues. The Court's opinion should not be taken to cast doubt on longstanding prohibitions on the possession of firearms by felons and the mentally ill, or laws forbidding the carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and government buildings, or laws imposing conditions and qualifications on the commercial sale of arms. Miller's holding that the sorts of weapons protected are those "in common use at the time" finds support in the historical tradition of prohibiting the carrying of dangerous and unusual weapons. Pp. 54–56. The United States Supreme Court ruled any law that denies weapons that are commonly used at the time. the Armilite-15 (AR-15) is the most commonly sold long gun in the past 10 years violates the Constitution.

SB-506 Back ground checks will not be adhered to by criminals therefore putting law abiding citizens at disadvantage when attacked by a criminal who amazingly disobeys the law. You will be legislating a advantage to criminals and putting the public at risk.

SB-1071 additional taxes on gun manufactures in the state because out of state manufactures will be given an advantage over Connecticut manufactures are you kidding. Why don't we just continue to shoot ourselves in the foot. Do we really plan to destroy any profitable business in Connecticut until all citizens of the this state are unemployed and on welfare.

Also although it may not be listed in the acts discussed today. Any reduction in standard magazine capacity that gives a unfair advantage to criminals by disadvantaging the law abiding citizen is criminal in itself. It will hold the State and therefore it's citizens libel for damages because are legislature and there lack of respect for the Constitution. A law abiding citizen hindered by regulation against standard capacity magazines is at the mercy of a criminal or criminals and will be unable to adequately defend themselves against a criminal who has no magazine capacity limit because they will not follow the law. Therefore violating the victim to adequately exercise his second amendment right to self defense. I has to be a adequate defense.

Thank You

Luis Hernandez

268 Oxford St.

Hartford, Ct. 06105

860-922-6812